

A Potential Issue of Using the MIMO Nyquist **Criterion in Impedance-Based Stability Analysis**

Liang Qiao¹, Yaosuo Xue², Le Kong¹, Fred Wang^{1, 2} ¹ The University of Tennessee, Knoxville ² Oak Ridge National Laboratory

Motivation

Case Study

- The determinant-based MIMO Nyquist criterion is attractive since it simplifies the stability determination process
- However, it is found that this criterion can potentially result in incorrect stability analysis results in some cases
- The issue and its root cause are illustrated, together with corresponding solutions

Potential Issue of the Determinant-Based GNC

- $Y_{L} = Z_{L}^{-1} = \frac{1}{s^{2}L^{2} + \omega_{c0}^{2}L^{2}} \begin{bmatrix} sL & \omega_{60}L \\ -\omega_{60}L & sL \end{bmatrix}$ Pure impedance models in MIMO system models may lead to wrong stability prediction results in some cases
- The dq impedance model of pure inductance has imaginary axis poles at ± 60 Hz, thus induces imaginary axis poles

in the MIMO network impedance matrix $G_{nw}(s)$

(qB)

• Having such imaginary axis poles can impact the prediction results when applying the MIMO Nyquist criterion



The example 5-bus system

- Case I: simulation is stable, but the determinant-based GNC is **unstable**
- Case II: simulation is unstable but the determinant-based GNC is stable



Original

w/ mode

modificatio

Solutions

Solution 1: shift all imaginary axis poles into the LHP

Solution 2: phase

compensation

(qB) Magnitude Magnitude -10 540 (600) se (deg) (deg) 360 🗲 59.8 Hz 180 59.8 Hz Se Pha -360 60.2 Hz 60.2 Hz -540 -180 10^{4} 10 10 Frequency (Hz) Frequency (Hz) Case I: Bode plot of det(*F*) Case II: Bode plot of det(*F*) **Bode Diagram** Bode Diagram Magnitude (dB) Magnitude (dB) 540 Compensated Original Original Compensated Phase (deg) 180 Phase (deg) -180 ← 60.2 Hz 59.8 Hz 59.8 Hz -60.2 Hz 10⁰ 10³ 10^{4} 10⁻¹ 10¹ 10^{4} 10¹ 10^{3} 10-1 Frequency (Hz) Frequency (Hz) Case I: Bode plot of det(*F*) Case II: Bode plot of det(*F*)

Origina

w/ model

modification

20

- Pure inductance models can induce the imaginary axis poles to the MIMO system model Such an issue can potentially result in incorrect analysis results
- Solutions to this issue including shifting the imaginary axis poles into the LHP or providing phase compensation when applying the determinant based GNC





10⁵

