

# **Profit-Oriented BESS Siting and Sizing in Deregulated Distribution Systems**

#### Xiaofei Wang, Fangxing Li, Qiwei Zhang, Qingxin Shi, Jinning Wang The University of Tennessee, Knoxville

## Contributions

- The DLMP is applied as the price signal to incentivize the BESS planning in a deregulated distribution system.
- A TS-SBP arbitrage model is established.
- A k-means-based scenario extraction algorithm is proposed to extract the most representative patterns of LMP and system load profiles.
- BESS candidate bus reduction and inactive voltage constraint reduction are proposed to reduce the computational complexity of this large-scale optimization problem.



#### **Problem Formulation**

(3)

(4)

(5)

(6)

(7)

First Stage: Optimal Siting & Sizing  $\max - \sum \left( c^{Mf} P_i^{rated} + c^{Mv} E_i^{rated} \right) + E \left[ f(\mathbf{x}, s) \right]$  $\sum S < M^{\max}$ (2) Second Stage: BESS Operation in a **Deregulated Distribution Market** 

- Upper level •

s.t. 
$$\sum_{i \in \Omega_{BS}} \delta_i \leq N_{BS}^{max}$$
$$\sum_{i \in \Omega_{BS}} k^p P_i^{rated} + k^e E_i^{rated} \leq C^{Bgt}$$
$$P^{\min} \delta_i \leq P_i^{rated} \leq P^{\max} \delta_i$$
$$E^{\min} \delta_i \leq E_i^{rated} \leq E^{\max} \delta_i$$
$$E_i^{rated} = 4 \cdot P_i^{rated}$$
$$E \left[ f(\mathbf{x}, s) \right] = 365 \cdot \sum_{s \in S} p(s) f(\mathbf{x}, s)$$

#### **Overall Solution**

Algorithm 2: Overall Solution Procedure **1. Decomposition**: Since there is a finite set of scenarios, (25) can be reformulated as:

$$\max \quad 365 \cdot \sum_{s \in S} p(s) \Big( -\boldsymbol{c}^T \boldsymbol{x}_s + \boldsymbol{\pi}_s^T \boldsymbol{y}_s \Big)$$

Decompose it into S subproblems.

**2. Initialization:** For each  $s \in S$ , compute:

 $(\mathbf{x}_{s}, \mathbf{y}_{s}) \in \arg \max - \mathbf{c}^{T} \mathbf{x}_{s} + \mathbf{\pi}_{s}^{T} \mathbf{y}_{s}$ 

3. Candidate buses reduction: Obtain the aggregated binary variable:  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\delta}} = \sum_{s \in S} p_s(s) \boldsymbol{\delta}_s$ , where  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\delta}} = \{\hat{\delta}_1, \dots, \hat{\delta}_{\Omega_N}\}$ ; remove  $\hat{\delta}_i$ 

with low values; the rest are the most probable buses.

4. Voltage constraints reduction: Check  $V_s = \{V_1, \dots, V_{\Omega_N}\}, s \in S$ , identify buses at which voltage constraints are never violated; then,

remove constraints at these buses.

5. Solving: With reduced candidate buses and voltage constraints, compute:  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}_s) \in \arg \max - \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} + 365 \cdot \sum_{s \in S} p(s) \mathbf{\pi}_s^T \mathbf{y}_s$ .

6. Voltage constraints update: Check whether the removed voltage constraints are violated or not. If yes, add the violated ones and go back to Step 5; otherwise, the algorithm terminates.

$$f(\mathbf{x}, s) = \max \sum_{t \in \Omega_{T}} \sum_{i \in \Omega_{BS}} \pi_{i,t}^{s} \cdot P_{i,t}^{BESS,s}$$

$$= \max \sum_{t \in \Omega_{T}} \sum_{i \in \Omega_{BS}} \pi_{i,t}^{s} \cdot \left(\sqrt{\eta_{i}} P_{i,t}^{d,s} - P_{i,t}^{c,s} / \sqrt{\eta_{i}}\right)$$
(8)
$$s.t. \quad E_{i,t+1}^{s} = E_{i,t}^{s} + P_{i,t}^{c,s} - P_{i,t}^{d,s}$$
(9)
$$E_{i,t=0}^{s} = E_{i,t=T}^{s}$$
(10)
$$SOC_{i}^{\min} \cdot E_{i}^{rated} \leq E_{i,t+1}^{s} \leq SOC_{i}^{\max} \cdot E_{i}^{rated}$$
(11)
$$0 \leq P_{i,t}^{c,s} \leq P_{i}^{rated}, \quad 0 \leq P_{i,t}^{d,s} \leq P_{i}^{rated}$$
(12)
$$\operatorname{Lower level}_{\min h(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y}, s) =$$
(13)

$$\sum_{t\in\Omega_{T}} \left( \sigma_{sub,t}^{p,s} P_{sub,t}^{G,s} + \sigma_{sub,t}^{q,s} \widehat{Q}_{sub,t}^{G,s} + \sum_{i\in\Omega_{G}} \left( \sigma_{i,t}^{p,s} P_{i,t}^{G,s} + \sigma_{i,t}^{q,s} \widehat{Q}_{i,t}^{G,s} \right) \right)$$
(13)

s.t.  

$$P_{sub,t}^{G,s} + \sum_{i \in \Omega_{G}} P_{i,t}^{G,s} + \sum_{i \in \Omega_{BS}} P_{i,t}^{BESS,s} = \sum_{i \in \Omega_{N}} P_{i,t}^{D,s} + P_{t}^{L,s} : \lambda_{t}^{p,s}$$
(14)  

$$Q_{sub,t}^{G,s} + \sum_{i \in \Omega_{G}} Q_{i,t}^{G,s} = \sum_{i \in \Omega_{N}} Q_{i,t}^{D,s} + Q_{t}^{L,s} : \lambda_{t}^{q,s}$$
(15)

$$V_{j,t}^{s} = V_{sub,t}^{s} + \sum_{i \in \Omega_{N}} Z_{j,i}^{p} \left( P_{i,t}^{G,s} + P_{i,t}^{BESS,s} - P_{i,t}^{D,s} \right) + \sum_{i \in \Omega_{N}} Z_{j,i}^{q} \left( Q_{i,t}^{G,s} - Q_{i,t}^{D,s} \right)$$
(16)  
$$V^{\min} \leq V^{s} \leq V^{\max} \cdot \varphi^{\min,s} \quad \varphi^{\max,s} \quad \forall i \in \mathbf{O}$$
(17)

$$\leq V_{j,t} \leq V \quad : \mathcal{O}_{j,t} \quad : \mathcal$$

$$0 \leq Q_{i,t}^{G,s} \leq P_{i,t}^{G,s} \tan(\arccos \alpha_i) : \omega_{i,t}^{q\min,s}, \omega_{i,t}^{q\max,s}, \forall i \in \Omega_{MT}$$
(19)  
$$Q_i^{G,\min} \leq Q_{i,t}^{G,s} \leq Q_i^{G,\max} : \omega_{i,t}^{q\min,s}, \omega_{i,t}^{q\max,s}, \forall i \in \Omega_{SVC}$$
(20)

$$-Q_{i,t}^{G,s} \leq \widehat{Q}_{i,t}^{G,s}, Q_{i,t}^{G,s} \leq \widehat{Q}_{i,t}^{G,s} : \kappa_{i,t}^{-,s}, \kappa_{i,t}^{+,s}, \forall i \in \Omega_{G}$$

$$2 P^{loss,s} = 2 O^{loss,s}$$

$$(21)$$

$$\pi_{i,t}^{s} = \lambda_{t}^{p,s} + \lambda_{t}^{p,s} \cdot \frac{\partial P_{t}}{\partial P_{i,t}^{D,s}} + \lambda_{t}^{q,s} \cdot \frac{\partial Q_{t}}{\partial P_{i,t}^{D,s}} + \sum_{j \in \Omega_{N}} \left( \omega_{j,t}^{v\min,s} - \omega_{j,t}^{v\max,s} \right) Z_{j,i}^{p}$$

$$(22)$$

### **Simulation Results**

Siting and sizing results

Cases	BESS bus (#)	Power/Energy (kW/ kWh)	Annual net profit (\$)	Time (s)
Case 1	11, 15, 18, 31, 33	100/400, 149/597, 103/414, 107/426, 100/400	9302.22	7936
Case 2	11, 15, 18, 31, 33	100/400, 149/597, 103/414, 107/426, 100/400	9129.37	3442
Case 3	11, 15, 18, 31, 33	100/400, 149/597, 103/414, 107/426, 100/400	9129.38	1338
Case 4	11, 15, 18, 31, 33	100/400, 149/597, 103/414, 107/426, 100/400	9129.38	2390
Case 5	11, 15, 18, 31, 33	100/400, 149/597, 103/414, 107/426, 100/400	9129.38	1077

System load profiles with and without BESSs



## Conclusions

- The DLMP can act as an effective price signal to incentivize BESS planning.
- The proposed two scale-reduction strategies are verified to improve computational efficiency and maintain accuracy.
- Optimal siting and sizing benefit both BESS investors and the DSO.





