Cyber Security of Power Grids

......

THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE

Chen-Ching Liu Boeing Distinguished Professor Director, Energy Systems Innovation Center Washington State University

In Collaboration with M. Govindarasu, Iowa State University

This research is sponsored by U.S. National Science Foundation, and NSF/Department of Energy through CURENT ERC.





Research framework





- Security rules
- Data and information logs

- detection algorithms
- Find same type of attacks
- Impact analysis (what-if scenario)
- Find more vulnerable point

- Preventive and remedial action
- Reconfigure firewall rules



System Vulnerability



- A system is defined as the wide area interconnected, IPbased computer communication networks linking the control center and substations-level networks
- System vulnerability is the maximum vulnerability level over a set of scenarios represented by I

 $V_{S} = \max(V(I))$



Access Point Vulnerability



- Access point provides the port services to establish a connection for an intruder to penetrate SCADA computer systems
- Vulnerability of a scenario i, V(i), through an access point is evaluated to determine its potential damage

Scenario vulnerability - weighted sum of the potential damages over the set S.

$$V(i) = \sum_{j \in S} \pi_j \times \gamma_j$$

where π_j is the steady state probability that a SCADA system is attacked through a specific access point *j*, which is linked to the SCADA system. The damage factor, γ_j , represents the level of damage on a power system when a substation is removed







Intrusion attempt to a machine

- >A solid bar transition probability
- An empty bar processing execution rate that responds to the attacker
- Account lockout feature, with a limited number of attempts, can be simulated by initiating the N tokens (password policy threshold).





Firewall Model







Impact Factor Evaluation



Impact factor for the attack upon a SCADA system is

$$\gamma = \left(\frac{P_{LOL}}{P_{Total}}\right)^{L-1}$$

Loss of load (LOL) is quantified for a disconnected substation

- To determine the value of L, one starts with the value of L=1 at the substation and gradually increases the loading level of the entire system without the substation that has been attacked.
- Stop when power flow fails to converge



Vulnerabilities of substations



- Control centers rely on substations and communications to make decisions
- Substations are a critical infrastructure in the power grid (relays, IEDs, PMUs)
- Remote access to substation user interface or IEDs for maintenance purposes
- Unsecured standard protocol, remote controllable IED and unauthorized remote access
- Some IED and user-interface have available web servers and it may provide a remote access for configuration and control with default passwords
- Well coordinated cyber attacks can compromise more than one substation it may become a multiple, cascaded sequence of events









Host-based anomaly detection



Detection of temporal anomalies is performed by comparing consecutive row vectors representing a sequence of time instants

$$V_{h(i)}^{\Omega} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} |\Omega_{(i,j)} - \Omega_{(i+1,j)}|}{n}, i=1,...,6,$$

If a discrepancy exists between two different periods (rows, 10 seconds), the anomaly index is a number between 0 and 1

A value of 0 implies no discrepancy whereas 1 indicates the maximal discrepancy

	Substation A						
Host-based anomaly indicators	t_1	0	0	0	0	0	
 ψ[^]a (intrusion attempt on user interface or IED) 	t_2	1	0	0	0	0	
 w^cf (change of the file system) 	t_3	1	1	0	0	0	
• w^cs (change of IED critical settings)	$\Omega = t_4$	1	1	0	0	0	
ψ^{0} (change of status of breakers or transformer taps)	t_5	1	1	0	0	0	
$= \psi \circ (\text{change of status of bleakers of transformer taps})$	t ₆	1	1	1	1	0	
 ψm (measurement difference) 	t_7	L1	1	1	1	-01	



Attack similarity



- The simultaneous anomaly detection is achieved in 3 steps, i.e.,
- 1) Find the total number of types of attacks
- 2) Find the same attack groups, and
- 3) Calculate the similarity between attacks in the same group

Attack Similarity =
$$1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{x} \sum_{j=1}^{y} |\mathbf{\Omega}_{(i,j)} - \mathbf{\Omega}'_{(i,j)}|}{x \cdot y}$$
,

 Attack similarity value of 0 indicates no overlap and a value 1 indicates a complete overlap

Substation A			A		Substation B					
$t_1 [0]$	0	0	0	ך0	$t_1 [0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]$					
$t_2 \mid 1$	0	0	0	0	$t_2 1 0 0 0 0$					
$t_3 \mid 1$	1	0	0	0	$t_3 1 1 0 0 0$					
$\mathbf{\Omega} = t_4 \mid 1$	1	0	0	0	$\mathbf{\Omega}' = t_4 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$					
$t_5 \mid 1$	1	0	0	0	t_{5} 1 1 0 1 0					
$t_6 \mid 1$	1	1	1	0	$t_6 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$					
$t_7 L_1$	1	1	1	0	$t_{7} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$					

similarity index = 0.9643



Coordinated cyber attack



- Coordinated cyber attacks cause a greater impact
- In coordinated cyber attacks, attack steps are associated with each other.
 Identifying "relations" helps system operators detect a coordinated cyber attack.





System Integration

















Face.







WASHINGTON STATE



WASHINGTON STATE

18





Without ADS - Blackout







WSU Smart City Testbed





Conclusions and future work





- Substation cyber security enhancement
- Anomaly detection using proposed Integrated IDS
- Attack similarity and Impact factor analysis
- Vulnerability assessment by cyber-physical testbed
- More protocols and more anomaly indicators
- Cyber-physical vulnerability analysis
- Coordinated simultaneous cyber attack detection
- Smart city testbed